1-19-89 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF MELVIN KABIK, ET UX FOR PETITION FOR SPECIAL * CIRCUIT COURT EXCEPTION ON PROPERTY LOCATED ON THE NORTHEAST CORNER FOR FREDERICK ROAD AND PROSPECT AVENUE (6500 FREDERICK ROAD), BALTIMORE COUNTY FOR ONE ILLUMINATED 12' x 25' ADVERTISING STRUCTURE CG Doc. No. 68 1ST ELECTION DISTRICT 1ST COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT Folio No. 136 MELVIN KABIK, ET UX, PLAINTIFFS File No. 89-CG-1736 ZONING FILE NO. 88-486-X * * * * * * * * * PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE ZONING COMMISSIONER AND THE BOARD OF APPEALS FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT: And now come William T. Hackett, Lawrence E. Schmidt and Henry H. Lewis, constituting the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, and in answer to the Order for Appeal directed against them in this case, herewith return the record of proceedings had in the above-entitled matter, consisting of the following certified copies or original papers on file in the office of the Zoning Department of Baltimore County: No. 88-486-X ENTRIES FROM DOCKET OF BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY March 30, 1988 Petition of Melvin Kabik for a special exception for one (1) illuminated 12' x 25' advertising (sign) structure. Comments of Baltimore County Zoning Plans Advisory Committeefiled. Certificate of Posting of property - filed. Publication in newspaper - filed. June 23, 1988 At 9:00 a.m. hearing held on Petition by the Zoning July 13, 1988 Commissioner. Order of the Zoning Commissioner that the Petition for September 29 Special Exception for one illuminated 12' x 25' advertising (sign) structure be DENIED. Notice of Appeal received from Stuart R. Berger, Esquire on October 24 behalf of Petitioner. ASSESSMENT OF THE DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY O

to M. Albert Figinski, Esquire, and Stuart R. Berger, Esquire, Weinberg and Green, 100 S. Charles St., Baltimore, MD 21201.

- 2 -

Peter Max Zimmerman

MODOSILMED

Melvin Kabik, et ux 2. Case No. 88-486-X, File No. 89-CG-1736 Hearing on appeal before the Board of Appeals. April 12, 1989 Opinion and Order of the Board ordering that the Petition for April 20 Special Exception be DENIED. Order for Appeal filed in the Circuit Court for Baltimore County by Stuart R. Berger, Esquire on behalf of Plaintiff. Petition to accompany Order for Appeal filed in the Circuit May 18 Court for Baltimore County. May 22 Certificate of Notice sent to all interested parties. June 19 Transcript of testimony filed. Petitioner's Exhibit No. 1 - Special Exception map showing " 2 - Photo of subject site where sign is to be located " 3 - A, Motor Vehicle Traffic Accidents Administrative Summary (01/01/88 to 03/31/88) " 3 - B, Motor Vehicle Traffic Accidents Administrative Summary (04/01/88 to 06/30/88) " 3 - C, Motor Vehicle Traffic Accidents Administrative Summary (07/01/88 to 09/30/88) " 4 - Description of Property " 5 - Comments of Captain Joseph Kelly dated April 5, 1988 " 6 - Comments of Creston J. Mills, Jr. of the State Highway Administration dated March 30, 1988 People's Counsel's Exhibit No. 1 - Office of Planning and Zoning comments dated May 16, 1988 " " 2 - Office of Economic Development comments dated July 5, 1988 " " 3 - Paradise-East Catonsville Enhancement Study m m 4 - Special Exception map showing area with notes by James Bailey Record of Proceedings filed in the Circuit Court for Baltimore Record of Proceedings pursuant to which said Order was entered and upon which said Board acted are hereby forwarded to the Court, together with exhibits

Melvin Kabik, et ux Case No. 88-486-X, File No. 89-CG-1736 Respectfully submitted,

Linda Lee M. Kusyman indaLee M. Kuszmaul County Board of Appeals

cc: Stuart R. Berger, Esquire Phyllis C. Friedman. People's Counsel of Baltimore County Arnold Jablon, County Attorney

. MICROPULLED

Mis Cole Friedman Phylds Cole Friedman People's Counsel for Baltimore County

: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

: FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

: Case No. 89CG1736

::::::

ANSWER TO PETITION FOR APPEAL

herein, answers the Petition for Appeal heretofore filed by the Appellant,

1. That the Appellee denies the allegations made and contained in

2. That the decision of the Board herein was proper and justified

by the evidence before it and that the decision of the Board should there-

the first through second paragraphs of said Petition.

fore be sustained as being properly and legally made.

People's Counsel for Baltimore County, Protestant below and Appellee

Peter Ma Zummen Peter Max Zimmerman Deputy People's Counsel Room 304, County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204 (301) 887-2188

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 26th day of May, 1989, a copy of the foregoing Answer to Petition for Appeal was hand-delivered to the Administrative Secretary, County Board of Appeals, Room 315, County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Ave., Towson, MD 21204; and a copy was mailed

PARABIMEN

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF MELVIN KABIK, ET UX FOR PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION ON * CORNER FREDERICK ROAD AND PROSPECT * AVENUE (6500 FREDERICK ROAD), FOR ONE ILLUMINATED 12' x 25' ADVERTI- * BALTIMORE COUNTY SING STRUCTURE 1ST ELECTION DISTRICT CG Doc. No. 68 1ST COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT Folio No. 136 MELVIN KABIK, ET UX, PLAINTIFFS # File No. 89-CG-1736 ZONING FILE NO. 88-486-X

CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

entered into evidence before the Board.

Madam Clerk:

Pursuant to the provisions of Rule B-2(d) of the Maryland Rules of Procedure, William T. Hackett, Lawrence E. Schmidt and Henry H. Lewis, constituting the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, have given notice by mail of the filing of the appeal to the representative of every party to the proceeding before it; namely, Mr. and Mrs. Melvin Kabik, 6500 Frederick Road, Baltimore, Maryland 21228, Petitioner/Plaintiff; Stuart R. Berger, Esquire, Weinberg & Green, 100 S. Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201, Counsel for the Petitioner/Plaintiff; Mr. Freedman, Penn Advertising of Baltimore Inc., 3001 Remington Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211; Arnold G. Foreman, Esquire, c/o County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Room 315, Towson, Maryland 21204; and Phyllis C. Friedman, People's Counsel for Baltimore County, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Room 304, Towson, Maryland 21204; a copy of which Notice is attached hereto and prayed that it may be made a part hereof.

> County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, Room 315, County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204 (301) 887-3180

89 MAY 23 AM 9: 03 COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

HARRINGO .

Melvin Kabik, et ux Case No. 88-386-X, File No. 89-CG-1736

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the aforegoing Certificate of Notice has been mailed to Mr. and Mrs. Melvin Kabik, 6500 Frederick Road, Baltimore, Maryland 21228, Petitioner/Plaintiff; Stuart R. Berger, Esquire, Weinberg & Green, 100 S. Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201, Counsel for the Petitioner/Plaintiff; Mr. Freedman, Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc., 3001 Remington Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211; Arnold G. Foreman, Esquire, c/o County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Room 315, Towson, Maryland 21204; and Phyllis C. Friedman, People's Counsel for Baltimore County, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Room 304, Towson, Maryland 21204, on this 22nd day of May, 1989.

> LindaLee M. Kuszmaul, Legal Secretary County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County

County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING, ROOM 315 111 W. CHESAPEAKE AVENUE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

May 22, 1989

(301) 887-3180

Stuart R. Berger, Esquire Weinberg & Green 100 S. Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

MELVIN KABIK, et ux

Plaintiff/Appellant

BALTIMORE COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

Defendant/Appellee

Re: Case No. 88-486-X Melvin Kabik, et ux

Dear Mr. Berger:

In accordance with Rule B-7(a) of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, the County Board of Appeals is required to submit the record of proceedings of the appeal which you have taken to the Circuit Court for Baltimore County in the above-entitled matter within thirty days.

The cost of the transcript of the record must be paid by you. In addition, the cost incurred for certified copies of other documents necessary for the completion of the record must also be at your expense.

The cost of the transcript, plus any other documents, must be paid in time to transmit the same to the Circuit Court not later than thirty days from the date of any petition you file in Court, in accordance with Rule B-7(a).

Enclosed is a copy of the Certificate of Notice which has been filed in the Circuit Court.

Very truly yours.

LindaLee M. Kuszmaul Legal Secretary

Encl.

cc: Mr. and Mrs. Melvin Kabik

SELLINED

MET THE MED



County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING, ROOM 315 111 W. CHESAPEAKE AVENUE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

(301) 887-3160

May 22, 1999

Phyllis C. Friedman People's Counsel of Baltimore County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue, Room 304 Towson, Maryland 21204

> Re: Case No. 88-486-X Melvin Kabik, et ux

Dear Ms. Friedman:

Notice is hereby given, in accordance with the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Appeals of Maryland, that an appeal has been taken to the Circuit Court for Baltimore County from the decision of the County Board of Appeals rendered in the above matter.

Enclosed is a copy of the Certificate of Notice.

Very truly yours,

Legal Secretary

Encl.

cc: Mr. Freedman Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc. Mr. James Bailey Mr. James Mohler J. Robert Haines Ann M. Nastarowicz James E. Dyer Docket Clerk -Zoning P. David Fields Pat Keller

Arnold Jablon, County Attorney

MICROFILMED

MELVIN KABIK, et ux * IN THE Plaintiff/Appellant * CIRCUIT COURT * FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS BALTIMORE COUNTY Defendant/Appellee * Case No. * Docket No. * Folio No. ____

~ 0365:05/17/89

* * * * * * * * * * *

PETITION FOR APPEAL

Melvin Kabik, et ux, by M. Albert Figinski, Stuart R. Berger and Weinberg and Green, his attorneys, having heretofore filed an Order for Appeal from the Opinion and Order of the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County dated April 20, 1989, files the within Petition for Appeal, pursuant to Maryland Rule B2e, and states as follows:

- 1. That the Opinion and Order dated April 20, 1989, is arbitrary, illegal, and capricious.
- 2. That the County Board of Appeals had no legally sufficient evidence upon which to base its conclusion that the Petition for Special Exception be denied.

Further, the Appellants are aggrieved parties whose property interests are affected adversely by the Board of Appeals' Opinion and Order.

85 HAY 81 YAM 88

RECEIVED OF APPEALS

" STEELMED

0366:05/17/89 AQ073:3782G

> WHEREFORE, the Appellants respectfully request that the Order of the County Board of Appeals, dated April 20, 1989, be reversed, and the Petition for Special Exception be granted.

> > Respectfully submitted,

M. albert Figirski

Weinberg and Green 100 South Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (301) 332-8662

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day of May, 1989, a copy of the foregoing Petition for Appeal was hand-delivered to the Administrative Secretary, County Board of Appeals, County Office Building, Room 315, 111 West Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204; and a copy was mailed, first class and postage prepaid, to Phyllis Cole Friedman and Peter Max Zimmerman, Esquires, People's Counsel for Baltimore County, Room 223, Courthouse, Towson, Maryland 21204.

MICROFILMED

0366:05/17/89

MELVIN KABIK, et ux Plaintiff/Appellant

* CIRCUIT COURT

* IN THE

BALTIMORE COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS Defendant/Appellee

* FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY Case No. _____ Docket No. * Folio No.

* * * * * * * * * * * *

ORDER FOR APPEAL

Melvin Kabik, et ux, notes an appeal to the Circuit Court for Baltimore County, pursuant to § 10-215(b), State Govt. Art., Md. Code and Maryland Rule B-4, from the Opinion and Order rendered by the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County dated April 20, 1989. A copy of the Baltimore County Board of Appeals' Opinion and Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Respectfully submitted,

Weinberg and Green 100 South Charles Street

Baltimore, Maryland 21201 (301) 332-8662

MORGHLMED

- 0366:05/17/89

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 18^{2} day of May, 1989, a copy of the foregoing Order for Appeal was hand-delivered to the Administrative Secretary, County Board of Appeals, County Office Building, Room 315, 111 West Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204; and a copy was mailed, first class and postage prepaid, to Phyllis Cole Friedman and Peter Max Zimmerman, Esquires, People's Counsel for Baltimore County, Room 223, Courthouse, Towson, Maryland 21204.

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF MELVIN KABIK, ET UX FOR PETITION FOR SPECIAL * COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS BALTIMORE COUNTY ADVERTISING STRUCTURE 1ST ELECTION DISTRICT 1ST COUNCILMANIC DISTRICT

OPINION

* * * * * * * *

This case comes before the Board as an appeal from the decision of Zoning Commissioner Haines dated September 29, 1988, wherein he denied the Petition for Special Exception to allow one illuminated 12' x 25' advertising sign structure. The Petitioners were represented by counsel at the Hearing before this Board and the Office of the People's Counsel appeared in opposition to the Petition.

On behalf of the Petitioners, Mr. Barry Freedman of Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc. testified. Mr. Freedman has been in the outdoor advertising business for ten years and is currently Director of the Corporate Development Section of Penn Advertising, the Contract Lessee for this project. He testified that a 12' x 25' unipole sign was proposed to be constructed on site. The sign would be single face towards eastbound traffic on Frederick Road and would be illuminated by a mercury lamp directed from the pole onto the sign. He opined that the light would not reflect into adjacent properties and that the installation of the sign at this location would not be detrimental to the health, safety and general welfare of the locale. He also testified as to the absence of outdoor advertising signs in this proximity. Lastly, Mr. Freedman offered that the sign would not detrimentally affect traffic on Frederick Road near this site.

Melvin Kabik, et ux Case No. 88-486-X

Melvin Kabik, the owner of the property, also testified. He stated that he owned the subject property in addition to the adjoining property which houses a supermarket and liquor store. He stated that his businesses had no parking problems and the possible loss of one space due to the construction of this sign would not detrimentally affect the parking or traffic situation. As did Mr. Freedman, Mr. Kabik submitted that the granting of the Petition would not adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the community.

In opposition to the project, the People's Counsel offered William P. Huey, of the Office of Planning and Zoning. He confirmed his department's opposition to this sign based in part upon the Paradise-East Catonsville Enhancement Study. This advisory study proposes an enhancement of this area by revitalizing the commercial strips along Frederick Road to make them blend well with the surrounding residential community. In Mr. Huey's view, construction of this sign would be inconsistent with the spirit of that plan and not harmonious with the residential community.

Also testifying was Kimberlee Piper of the Baltimore County Economic Development Commission. She testified as to the extensive work already completed under the enhancement plan and the County's ongoing proposal to revitalize the area. As was the case with Mr. Huey, Ms. Piper opined that the granting of the Petition would be a step backwards and inconsistent with the revitalization. A neighborhood resident, James Bailey of 6507 Frederick Road also testified. Mr. Bailey lives on Frederick Road directly across from the site on the edge of the surrounding residential community. He testified as to the detrimental impacts which he fears on his adjacent property which would result from the construction of the sign. His chief concern is to preserve the esthetic character of the neighborhood. He is also wary of the consequences

Melvin Kabik, et ux Case No. 88-486-X

3E:8 HA 81 YAH 88

of traffic on Frederick Road, as well as increased light onto his property from

It is clear that the proposed sign complies with Section 413.3 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (hereinafter BCZR). Nonetheless, we are obligated to consider if this special exception is permissible under Section 502.1 of the BCZR. Of the factors noted therein, the Board is particularly cognizant of 502.1(a) which provides that the special exception will not "be detrimental to the health, safety or general welfare of the locality involved". As has been well documented and stated in Schultz v. Pritts, 291 Md. 1, 432 A.2d 1319 (1981), the Board must determine if the Petition for Special Exception has any adverse effects on the neighboring properties above and beyond those inherently associated with such a special exception use irrespective of its location in the zone.

In Holbrook v. Board of County Comm'rs., 70 Md. App. 207, 211, 520 A.2d 1096 (1987), the Court of Appeals further defined this standard. In that case, which arose from Cecil County, the Court was asked to consider a similar special exception statute. Specifically, the Cecil County Zoning Ordinance provided that a special exception could be provided only if not detrimental to the public health, safety or general welfare. (See Section 7.07 (A) Cecil County Zoning Ordinance (1979, as amended).

In the Holbrook case, the Court of Appeals considered a special exception which would allow a permanent trailer to be located immedia ely adjacent to a substantial single family residence. The Court, in affirming the Board's denial of the permit, stated "In summary, where the facts and circumstances indicate that the particular special exception use and location proposed would cause an adverse effect upon adjoining and surrounding properties unique and

- 2 -

different, in kind or degree, than that inherently associated with such a use regardless of its location within the zone, the application should be denied." We find, as a matter of fact, that the character of the proposed sign creates such an adverse effect upon the unique properties surrounding this site. It is clear that the proposed sign will not only dwarf the subject site by its size and illumination but will also impact the surrounding residential properties. Notwithstanding its compliance with BCZR 413.3, the scope of the proposal is inconsistent with this locale. The Board is therefore persuaded, under the holdings enunciated by the Board of Appeals in Schultz, as affirmed in Holbrook, that the Petitioners have not met their burden and that this Petition for Special Exception should be DENIED.

ORDER

It is therefore this 20th day of April , 1989 by the County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County, for the aforegoing reasons as set forth in the Opinion of this Board, ORDERED that the Petition for Special Exception to permit a single illuminated 12' x 25' advertising structure on the subject property be and the same is hereby DENIED.

Any appeal from this decision must be made in accordance with Rules B-1 through B-13 of the Maryland Rules of Procedure.

> COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS OF BALTIMORE COUNTY Tamue Som

Lawrence E. Schmidt

County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING, ROOM 315 111 W. CHESAPEAKE AVENUE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204

(301) 887-3180

April 20, 1989

Stuart R. Berger, Esquire 100 S. Charles Street Equitable Tower II Building Baltimore, Maryland 21201-3060

> Re: Melvin Kabik, et ux Case No. 88-486-X

Dear Mr. Berger:

Enclosed please find a copy of the Opinion and Order issued this date by the County Board of Appeals in the subject case.

Legal Secretary

Enclosure

cc: Mr. and Mrs. Melvin Kabik Mr. Freedman Mr. James Bailey Mr. James W. Mohler People's Counsel of Baltimore County P. David Fields Pat Keller J. Robert Haines Ann M. Nastarowicz James E. Dyer √ Docket Clerk Arnold Jablon, County Attorney

RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION : BEFORE THE COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS NE/Corner of Frederick Road & Prospect Ave. (6500 Frederick Rd.): OF BALTIMORE COUNTY lst Election District lst Councilmanic District : Zoning Case No. 88-486-X

::::::

MELVIN KABIK, et ux, Petitioners :

ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

Please enter the appearance of the People's Counsel in the abovecaptioned matter. Notices should be sent of any hearing dates or other proceedings in this matter and of the passage of any preliminary or final

People's Counsel for Baltimore County

Peter Max Zimmerman Deputy People's Counsel Room 304, County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204 (301) 887-2188

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 7th day of December, 1988, a copy of the foregoing Entry of Appearance was mailed to Stuart R. Berger, Esquire, 36 S. Charles St., Sixth Floor, Baltimore, MD 21201-3060; Mr. Freedman, Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc., 3001 Remington Ave., Baltimore, MD 21211; and Mr. James Bailey, 6507 Frederick Rd., Catonsville, MD 21228.

Phyllis Cole Friedman

IN RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION * BEFORE THE NE/Corner Frederick Road and Prospect Avenue * ZONING COMMISSIONER (6500 Frederick Road) 1st Election District * OF BALTIMORE COUNTY 1st Councilmanic District * Case No. 88-486-X Melvin Kabik, et ux Petitioners * * * * * * * * * * *

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Petitioners herein request a special exception to permit an illuminated 12' x 25' advertising sign structure to be located on the subject property, as more particularly described in Petitioner's Exhibit 1.

The Petitioners, by Mr. Melvin Kabik, appeared, testified and was represented by Stuart R. Berger, Esquire. Mr. Freedman, a representative of Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc., the Contract Lessee of the subject property, also appeared and testified on behalf of the Petition. James Bailey appeared and testified as a Protestant.

Testimony indicated that the subject property, known as 6500 Frederick Road, is zoned B.L. and is improved with a used auto sales dealership. The subject property is located at the intersection of Frederick Road and Prospect Avenue. The Petitioners have agreed to allow Penn Advertising, Inc. to erect a billboard sign on their property between the used auto sales building and the grocery store on the adjacent property. The proposed sign will be of the size and design consistent with the billboard-type of sign used by Penn Advertising, Inc. throughout the greater Baltimore Metropolitan area.

The Petitioners' witnesses testified that they believe the proposed use on the subject property will not adversely impact the requirements set forth in Sections 413.3 and 502.1 of the Baltimore County Zoning

Regulations (B.C.Z.R.). Mr. Kabik stated he was confident no harm would come to any other land owners and that the proposed sign meets the requirements of Section 413.3 and 502.1 of the B.C.Z.R. He further stated that the parking lot is not used much and the possibility of losing one parking space is unimportant.

Mr. Bailey testified as to the requirements of Section 502.1. He testified that in his opinion the proposed sign will be detrimental to the general welfare of the community due to its size and its offensive nature to the residential properties both to the north and south of the subject site. Mr. Bailey further testified that in his opinion the sign will be offensive and inconsistent with the development of the area, and therefore, harmful to the community's general welfare. Mr. Bailey believes that the proposed sign would create congestion in the roads, specifically, Frederick Road, due to the poor line of site and the inability of motorists to view the sign until immediately upon it. He believes that traffic will be slowed and back-ups will result in order to read the billboard advertisement. Mr. Bailey testified extensively as to his belief that the sign would create a great deal of interference in the adequate light and air provisions set forth in Section 502.1. Specifically, he is opposed to the sign as it will be illuminated all night hours and will cause an overflow of light onto nearby residential properties. He also believes the $\tilde{\Box}$ ω $\tilde{\Box}$ sign will be a detriment to the parking lot on the property and will make unavailable at least one if not more of those parking spaces.

> It is clear that the B.C.Z.R. permits the use proposed in a B.L. zone by special exception. Therefore, it must be determined if the conditions as delineated in Section 502.1 are satisfied. Further, it is equal-

ly clear that the proposed use would be detrimental to the primary uses in the vicinity.

The Petitioner had the burden of adducing testimony and evidence which would show that the proposed use met the prescribed standards and requirements set forth in Section 502.1 of the B.C.Z.R. In fact, the Petitioner has not shown that the proposed use would be conducted without real detriment to the neighborhood and would not adversely affect the public interest. The facts and circumstances show that the proposed use at the particular location described by Petitioner's Exhibit 1 would have an adverse impact above and beyond that inherently associated with such a special exception use, irrespective of its location within the zone. Schultz v. Pritts, 432 A.2d 1319 (1981).

The proposed use will be detrimental to the health, safety, or general welfare of the locality, and will tend to create congestion in roads, streets, or alleys therein. It will be inconsistent with the purposes of the property's zoning classification, and inconsistent with the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R.

After reviewing all of the evidence and testimony presented, it appears that the Petition for Special Exception should not be granted.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the property, and public hearing on this Petition held, it appears that the requirements of Section 502.1 have not been met and the health, safety, and general welfare of the community shall be adversely affected. Therefore, the relief requested in the special exception should be denied.

> THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore 21 day of **September**, 1988 that the Petition for Special Exception to permit an illuminated 12' x 25' advertising sign structure to

be located on the subject property, in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit 1, be and is hereby DENIED.

Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County

Baltimore County Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning & Zoning Towson, Maryland 21204 494-3353 J. Robert Haines

September 29, 1988

Stuart R. Berger, Esquire 36 S. Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

RE: PETITION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION NE/Corner Frederick Road and Prospect Avenue (6500 Frederick Road) 1st Election District - 1st Councilmanic District Melvin Kabik, et ux - Petitioners

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Kabik:

Case No. 88-486-X

Enclosed please find a copy of the decision rendered in the above-captioned matter. The Petition for Special Exception has been denied in accordance with the attached Order.

In the event any party finds the decision rendered is unfavorable, any party may file an appeal to the County Board of Appeals within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. For further information on filing an appeal, please contact Ms. Charlotte Radcliffe at 494-3391.

Very truly yours,

J. ROBERT HAINES Zoning Commissioner Dennis F. Rasmussen

JRH:bjs

for Baltimore County cc: Mr. Freedman Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc. 3001 Remington Avenue, Baltimore, Md. 21211 Mr. James Bailey 6507 Frederick Road, Catonsville, Md. 21228 People's Counsel

MICROFILMED

fridanski raki

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY 88-486-X Posted for: Appeal
Petitioner: Melvin Kabih stus Location of property: NE [Corner of Frederich Road and Prospect Location of Signe On front window of Sales Room
6504 Frederich Road

BALTIMORE COUNTY OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING

County Office Building 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

Your petition has been received and accepted for filing this ______day of ______, 1988.

Petitioner's

Petitioner Melvin Kebik, et ux Received by: Chairman, Zoning Plans Attorney Stuart R. Perger ... Advisory Committee

Sign Location -- 6504 FREDERICK ROAD

CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

ASSIGNMENT OFFICE

COUNTY COURTS BUILDING

401 Bosley Avenue

P.O. Box 6754 Towson, Maryland 21285-6754

June 21,1989

NON JURY 89CG-1736 MELVIN KABIK VS. BALTIMORE COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

UPON RECEIPT OF THIS NOTICE: Counsel shall contact each other immediately to conform calendars. Claim of not receiving notice will not

If the above Hearing Date is not agreeable to any counsel, a request for a postponement MUST BE MADE IN WRITING to the Assignment Office AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, with a copy to all counsel involved. <u>POSTPONEMENTS PRIOR TO 20 DAYS OF TRIAL</u> should be directed to the attention of Irene Summers. <u>POSTPONEMENTS WITHIN 20 DAYS OF TRIAL</u> must be made to the attention of the Director of Central Assignments-Joyce Grimm-887-3497.

SETTLEMENTS: If a settlement if reached prior to the hearing date, the Assignment Office must be notified immediately. All settlements must be put

HEARING DATE: THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 21,1989 @ 9:30 A.M.

Please see the below notations.

ACCOUNT 001-006-6150

ANOUNT \$ 115 00

The British will

M.ALBERT FIGINSKI, ESQ. STUART R. BERGER, ESQ.

COUNTY BOARD OF APPEALS

PHYLLIS COLE FRIEDMAN, ESQ.

ON THE FOLLOWING: APPEAL 1 HOUR

on the record if no order of satisfaction is filed prior to trial.

RECEIVED STUART BERLER (#332-8562)

B - B G2]*****115µ0:a ₽26&F

VALIDATION OR SIGNATURE OF CASHIER

FOR APPEAL FILING - CASE # 88-486-X

MECVIN KADIR - PRITITIONIE

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND
OFFICE OF COUNTY, MARYLAND
OFFICE OF COUNTY, MARYLAND

MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT

ATTONNEY

Troperty Description Beginning at a point on the northeast corner of - Frederick Road and Prospect Avenue thence running north-Teast along Prospect Avenue a distance of 123 feet thence - running South east a distance of 94 feet thence running Southwest a distance of 90 feet to a point on the north __ side of Frederick Road 129 Ht. east of the Centerline of - Prospect Avenue thence running west along Frederick Road West a distance of 102 ft. to the point of

Date of Posting Lune 21-FR Location of property: NE/Comment Fredericks Bond and Propert are Location of Signer Front window of 65 47 Frederich Kord Remarks Ported 2nd tem august 15-88 for once having date of dept. 7-88

CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

June 23, 1988 Petition for Special Exception Case number: 88-486-X NEC Frederick Road and Pro-pect Avenue (6500 Frederic Road) Road)
1st Election District
1st Councilmanic District
Petitioner(s):
Melvin Kabik, et ux.
Hearing Date: Wednesday,
July 13, 1988 at 9:00 a.m.
Special Exception: One (1) illuminated 12' x 25' advertising (sign) structure.

In the event that this Petition is granted, a building permit may be issued within the thirty (30) day ap-peal period. The Zoning Commis-sioner will, however, entertain any

THE JEFFERSONIAN.

Mark Market

Publisher

33,75

Baltimore County Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning & Zoning Towson, Maryland 21204 494-3353

J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc. 3001 Remington Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 20211

> Petition for Special Exception CASE NUMBER: 88-486-X NEC Frederick Road and Prospect Avenue (6500 Frederick Road)

1st Election District - 1st Councilmanic Petitioner(s): Melvin Kabik, et ux Lessee: Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc. HEARING SCHEDULED: WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 1988 at 10:00 a.m.

Please be advised that \$83.36 is due for advertising and posting of the above-referenced property. All fees must be paid prior to the hearing. Do <u>not</u> remove the sign and post set(s) from the property from the time it is posted by this office until the day of the hearing itself.

THIS FEE MUST BE PAID AND THE ZONING SIGN(S) AND POST(S) RETURNED ON THE DAY OF THE HEARING OR THE ORDER SHALL NOT BE ISSUED.

Please make your check payable to Baltimore County, Maryland and bring it along with the sign(s) and post(s) to the Zoning Office, County Office Building, Room 111, Towson, Maryland 21204 fifteen (15) minutes before your hearing is scheduled to begin.

Please note that should you fail to return the sign and post set(s), there will be an additional \$25.00 added to the above fee for each set not returned.

Very truly yours,

U J. ROBERT HAINES Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

JRH:gs cc: Stuart R. Berger, Esq. * Includes newspaper advertising, posting and

Baltimore County Zoning Commissioner
Office of Planning & Zoning Towson, Maryland 21204 494-3353 J. Robert Haines

request for a stay of the issuance of

must be in writing and received in this office by the date of the hearing set above or presented at the hear

April 15, 1938

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, located at 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland as follows:

Putition for Special Exception CASE ALMRERS ES-486-X TEC Fredarick Road and Poscopt August (8500 Fradmrick Road) 1st Election District - 1st Councilments Petitioner(e): Melvin Kabik, et um HEARING SCHEDULED: FRIDAY, JUNE 10, 1988 at 10:00 s.m.

Special Execptions One (1) illuminated 12' x 25' exertising (sign) structure.

In the event that this Petition is granted, a building permit may be issued within the thirty (30) day appeal period. The Zoning Commissioner will, however, entertain any request for a stay of the issuance of said permit during this period for good cause shown. Such request must be in writing and received in this office by the date of the hearing set above or presented at the hearing.

J. ROBERT HAINES Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

Perm Advertising of Baltimore, Inc. Pelvin Kabik Stuart R. Perger, Esq.

State of the state of the

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND
OFFICE OF FINE PEREVENUE DIVISION
MISCELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT

FOR Zoning; Special Exception Filip Fee Commercial

8 048*****10000:a 8155F

VALIDATION OR SIGNATURE OF CASHIER

Kathy Rushton — 887-2660

Non-Jury Assignments—Civil Special Settlement Conferences

Dennis F. Rasmussen
County Executive

Baltimore County Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning & Zoning Towson, Maryland 21204 494-3353

J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner

May 25, 1988

NOTICE OF HEARING



Dennis F. Rasmussen County Executive

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, located at 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland as follows:

Petition for Special Exception CASE NUMBER: 88-486-X NEC Frederick Road and Prospect Avenue (6500 Frederick Road) 1st Election District - 1st Councilmanic Petitioner(s): Melvin Kabik, et ux HEARING SCHEDULED: WEDNESDAY, JULY 13, 1988 at 9:00

Special Exception: One (1) illuminated 12' x 25' advertising (sign) structure.

In the event that this Fetition is granted, a building permit may be issued within the thirty (30) day appeal period. The Zoning Commissioner will, however, entertain any request for a stay of the issuance of said permit during this period for good cause shown. Such request must be in writing and received in this office by the date of the hearing set above or presented at the hearing.

J. ROBERT HAINES Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

cc: Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc. Stuart R. Berger, Esq. Melvin Kabik, et ux

Baltimore County Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning & Zoning Towson, Maryland 21204 494-3353 J. Robert Haines

NOTICE OF POSTPONEMENT

July 1, 1988



This will acknowledge receipt of the postponement request from () Petitioner(s), () Protestant(s), and/or (X) the Attorney for Petitioner or Protestant.

As such, the following case originally scheduled July 13, 1988 will not proceed on that date . You will be timely notified of the new hearing date.

88-486-X 88-487-X Melvin Kabik Richard Kim Petitioner(s): 6500 Frederick Rd 702B Liberty Road Location: Lessee: Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc.

> J. ROBERT HAINES Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County

Stuart R. Berger, Esq. Melvin Kabik, et ⊔x Richard Kim, et ux

MICROFILMED

Baltimore County Zoning Commissioner
Office of Planning & Zoning Towson, Maryland 21204

J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner July 14, 1988

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, located at 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland as follows:

(NO POSTPONEMENT FROM THIS DATED WILL BE GRANTED)

Petition for Special Exception NEC Frederick Road and Prospect Avenue (6500 Frederick Road) 1st Election District - 1st Councilmanic Petitioner(s): Melvin Kabik, et ux Lessee: Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc. HEARING SCHEDULED: WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 1988 at 10:00 a.m. Special Exception: One (1) illuminated 12' x 25' advertising

(sign) structure.

In the event that this Petition is granted, a building permit may be issued within the thirty (30) day appeal period. The Zoning Commissioner will, however, entertain any request for a stay of the issuance of said permit during this period for good cause shown. Such request must be in writing and received in this office by the date of the hearing set above or presented at the hearing.

J. ROBERT HAINES Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

MED

Towson, Maryland 21

Re: 88-486-X and 88-487-X Copy of September 7, 1988 Hearing Notice mailed to Petitioners, Attorney Berger, and to the following: Ar. James Balley B507 Frederick Road Catonsville, Meryland 21228

ilherty Communities Development Corp. 3820 Fernside Road Randalistown, Maryland 21133

The same

Mrs. Judith Berger Lochearn Improvement Associations 3801 Lockearn Drive Baltimore, Maryland 21207

Mr. George T. Dawson Maryland Department of Transporation State Highway Administration 2323 W. Joppe Rand Brooklandville, Maryland 21022

Ms. Susan Thornton Patuzent Publishing Company Bt7 Maiden Choice Lane, Suite 220 Baltimore, Maryland 21228

Mt. Dick Johnson

42 Bristwood Road Catonsville, Maryland 21228

Photocopy of actual mailing envelopes.

County Board of Appeals of Baltimore County COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING

111 W. CHESAPEAKE AVENUE TOWSON, MARYLAND 21204 (301):494:3:160: 887-3180

HEARING ROOM -January 23, 1989 Room 301, County Office Building NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT

NO POSTPONEMENTS WILL BE GRANTED WITHOUT GOOD AND SUFFICIENT REASONS. REQUESTS FOR POSTPONEMENTS MUST BE IN WRITING AND IN STRICT COMPLIANCE WITH BOARD RULE 2(b). NO POSTPONEMENTS WILL BE GRANTED WITHIN FIFTEEN (15) DAYS OF SCHEDULED HEARING DATE UNLESS IN FULL COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 2(c), COUNTY COUNCIL BILL NO. 59-79.

CASE NO. 88-486-X

MELVIN KABIK, ET UX NE/cor Frederick Road and Prospect Avenue (6500 Frederick Road) 1st Election District 1st Councilmanic District

SE -One illuminated 12' x 25' advertising sign structure

9/29/88 -Z.C.'s Order that special exception is

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 12, 1988 at 10:00 a.m.

DENIED.

Counsel for Appellant /Petitioner cc: Stuart R. Berger, Esquire Appellants /Petitioners Mr. & Mrs. Melvin Kabik

Penn Advertising of Balto, Inc. (Mr. Freedman)

Mr. James Bailey Mr. James W. Mohler

Feepis's Counsel for Balto. County out on Shirley Hess

P. David Fields Pat Keller J. Robert Haines

Ann M. Nastarowicz James E. Dyer

Docket Clerk -Zoning Arnold Jablon, County Attorney

Kathleen C. Weidenhammer Administrative Secretary BALTIMORE COUNTY ZONING PLANS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

May 24, 1988

COUNTY OFFICE BLDG. 111 W. Chesapeake Ave. Towson, Maryland 21204

MEMBERS

State Roads Commissio

Bureau of

Bureau of

Industrial

Development

Fire Prevention

Health Department

Project Planning

Building Department

Zoning Administration

Board of Education

Stuart R. Berger, Esquire 36 South Charles Street Baltimore, Maryland 21201

> RE: Item No. 339 - Case No. 88-486-X Petitioner: Melvin Kabik, tux Petition for Special Exception

Department of Dear Mr. Berger: Traffic Engineering

> The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition. The following comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the development plans that may have a bearing on this case. Director of Planning may file a written report with the Zoning Commissioner with recommendations as to the suitability of the requested zoning.

> Enclosed are all comments submitted from the members of the Committee at this time that offer or request information on your petition. If similar comments from the remaining members are received, I will forward them to you. Otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This petition was accepted for filing on the date of the enclosed filing certificate and a hearing scheduled accordingly.

> > Very truly yours,

Zoning Plans Advisory Committee

JED:dt

Enclosures

Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration

Richard H. Trainor Secretary Hal Kassoff Administrator

March 30, 1988

Mr. J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204 Att: James Dyer

RE: Baltimore County Property Owner Melvin Kabik Zoning Meeting 3/29/88 N/E/ C of Frederick Road Maryland Route 144 and Prospect Avenue Item #339

Dear Mr. Haines:

After reviewing the submittal for special exception for one (1) illuminated 12' x 25' advertising sign, the State Highway Administration-Bureau of Engineering Access Permits has the following comments.

The submittal for variance of a business sign has been forwarded to the State Highway Administration-Beautification Section, C/O Morris Stein (333-1642), for all comments relative to zoning.

If you have any questions, contact Larry Brocato of this office (333-1350).

Very truly yours,

Creston J. Mills, Jr.
Acting Chief-Bureau of Engineering Access Permits

cc: J. Ogle Morris Stein w/att.

FARTER SP

ZONING OFFICE

My telephone number is (301) 333-1350

Teletypewriter for Impaired Hearing or Speech 383-7555 Baltimore Metro - 565-0451 D.C. Metro - 1-800-492-5062 Statewide Toll Free 707 North Calvert St., Baitimore, Maryland 21203-0717

Baltimore County Department of Public Works Bureau of Traffic Engineering Courts Building, Suite 405 Towson, Maryland 21204 494-3554

May 6, 1988



Mr. J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Haryland 21204

Dear Mr. Haines:

The Bureau of Traffic Engineering has no comments for items number 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, and 347.

> Very truly yours, Stephen E. Weber, P.E. Assistant Traffic Engineer

SEW/RF/pm1-b



Than is it is a Line ED

Baltimore County Fire Department Towson, Maryland 21204-2586 Paul H. Reincke J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner Towson, MD 21204

April 5, 1988

Office of Planning and Zoning Baltimore County Office Building

Re: Property Owner: Melvin Kabik, et ux

Location: NE/c Frederick Rd., and Prospect Ave. Item No.: 339

Zoning Agenda: Meeting of 3/29/88

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below marked with an "X" are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

() 1. Fire hydrants for the referenced property are required and shall be located at intervals or ____ feet along an approved road in accordance with Baltimore County Standards as published by the Department of Public Works.

() 2. A second means of vehicle access is required for the site.

() 3. The vehicle dead end condition shown at

EXCEEDS the maximum allowed by the Fire Department.

() 4. The site shall be made to comply with all applicable parts of the Fire Prevention Code prior to occupancy or beginning of operation.

() 5. The buildings and structures existing or proposed on the site shall comply with all applicable requirements of the National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 101 "Life Safety Code," 1976 edition prior to occupancy.

() 6. Site plans are approved, as drawn.

(X) 7. The Fire Prevention Bureau has no comments at this time.

REVIEWER: Catt Acces felly 4-5-88 Approved:

Planning Group

Special Inspection Division

Noted and Approved:

Fire Prevention Bureau

MODELIVED

ALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

May 16, 1988 J. Robert Haines TO _____Zoning Commissioner

FROM P. David Fields, Director
Office of Planning and Zoning

SUBJECT Zoning Petition #88-486-A

The site is located within the boundaries of the Paradise-East Catonsville Enhancement Study. This plan, adopted by the County Council, states, in part, that "Aesthetics along the south side should be improved through the inclusion of new paving for sidewalks, landscaping, benches, and new signage." Further, "Facade guidelines for the area would focus upon sun-screening, signage, and other elements depicted in the attached graphics." Finally, "Signage is both a functional and aesthetic consideration. Commercial signs in the area are generally good, but the sizes are sometimes inappropriate for their function."

> One of the purposes of the plan was to encourage uniform, aesthetically pleasing signage through a reduction of existing sign clutter and the provision for unifying and appropriate signage.

This office, therefore, is diametrically opposed to the granting of this petition.

PDF/jat

cc: Shirley Hess, People's Counsel J. G. Hoswell Zoning File

MEGRORILMED

South as William

44.0 1001.5

Baltimore County Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning & Zoning Towson, Maryland 21204 494-3353

J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner

Baltimore County Board of Appeals County Office Building, Room 315 Towson, Maryland 21204

RE: Petition for Special Exception NE/Corner Frederick Road and Prospect Avenue (6500 Frederick Road) 1st Election District, 1st Councilmanic District MELVIN KABIK, ET UX - Petitioner Case No. 88-486-X

Dear Board:

Please be advised that an appeal of the above-referenced case was filed in this office on October 24, 1988 by Stuart R. Berger, Attorney on behalf of Petitioner. All materials relative to the case are being forwarded herewith.

Please notify all parties to the case of the date and time of the appeal hearing when it has been scheduled. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

Zoning Commissioner

cc: Mr. & Mrs. Melvik Kabik, 6500 Frederick Rd., Balto., Md. 21228

Stuart R. Berger, 36 South Charles Street, Sixth Floor, Baltimore, Md. 21201-3060

3001 Remington Avenue, Baltimore, Md. 21211 James Bailey, 6507 Frederick Road, Catonsville, Md. 21228

Mr. Freedman, Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc.

People's Counsel of Baltimore County Rm. 304, County Office Bldg., Towson, Md. 21204

November 21, 1988

Dennis F. Rasmussen County Executive

Zoning Plans Advisory Committee Comments Director of Planning & Zoning Comments

Petition for Special Exception

Description of Property

Certificate of Posting

Notice of Postponement

Certificate of Publication

Petitioner's Exhibits: 1.) Drawing to accompany Petition

Entry of Appearance of People's Counsel (None submitted)

2.) Polaroid photograph of building location Zoning Commissioner's Order dated September 29, 1988 (Denied)

Petition for Special Exception

NE/Corner Frederick Road and Prospect Avenue

(6500 Frederick Road)

MELVIN KABIK, ET UX - Petitioner

SE-One illuminated 12' x 25' advertising sign structure

Case No. 88-486-X

1st Election District - 1st Councilmanic District

Notice of Appeal received October 24, 1988 from Stuart R. Berger, Attorney on behalf of Petitioner

CC: Mr. & Mrs. Melvik Kabik, 6500 Frederick Rd., Balto., Md. 21228

**Stuart R. Berger, 36 South Charles Street, Sixth Floor J. Baltimore, Md. 21201-3060

Mr. Freedman, Penn Advertising of Baltimore, Inc. 3001 Remington Avenue, Baltimore, Md. 21211

James Bailey, 6507 Frederick Road, Catonsville, Md. 21228 People's Counsel of Baltimore County I Mad And Shalley Had Rm. 304, County Office Bldg., Towson, Md. 21204

Request Notification: P. David Fields, Director of Planning & Zoning

Patrick Keller, Office of Planning & Zoning J. Robert Haines, Zoning Commissioner Ann M. Nastarowicz, Deputy Zoning Commissioner James E. Dyer, Zoning Supervisor Docket Clerk

Mr. James W. Mohler 2 W. Rolling Crossroads Suite #203 Catonsville, MD 21228 (written request 1/11/89)

MELVIN KABIK, ET UX

NE/Corner Frederick Road and Prospect Avenue (6500 Frederick Road)

88-486-X

1st E; 1st C

SE -for one illuminated 12' x 25' advertising sign structure.

March 30, 1988 Petition for Special Exception for one illuminated 12' x 25' advertising sign structure.

September 29 Order of Z.C. that Petition for Special Exception be DENIED. October 24 Notice of Appeal to C.B. of A. from Stuart R. Berger, Esquire on behalf of the Petitioner.

Hearing on appeal before the Board of Appeals (Hackett, Schmidt

April 20 Exception. Order for Appeal filed in CCt, BCo by Berger; Petition to May 18

May 22 Certificate of Notice sent.

Transcript of testimony filed; record of proceedings filed. June 19 December 19 1//Order of the Circuit Court AFFIRMING C.B. of A. (Judge Smith).

Opinion and Order of the Board DENYING the Petition for Special accompany Appeal also filed.

1/23/89 -Following notified of hearing set for Wednesday, April 12, 1989 at 10 a.m. S. Berger, Esq.

M/M M. Kabik Penn Adv. of Balto J. Bailey J. Mohler P. David Fields Pat Keller

J. Robert Haines Ann M. Nastarowicz James E. Dyer Docket Clerk -Zoning Arnold Jablon, County Attorney

FROM THE DESK OF J. ROBERT HAINES

James E. Dyer

Ann Nastarowicz

W. Carl Richards

Z Jages H. Thompson

Prepare draft response

Please attend meeting
Frepare written report
Please file
Set up conference

See me immediately Discuss with me

Please follow up Collect \$20.00 fee

Please handle

The above petition is for a 12' x 25' billboard in an area known as the Paradise-East Catonsville Enhancement Study Area. The goals and objectives of the above study are to preserve

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

and enhance the revitalization area, a local commercial center that blends well with the nearby neighborhood. The County is spending much time and money to meet that goal and Zoning Petition #88/846-A is in opposition to that goal. Therefore, this office urges dehial in granting this petition.

July 5, 1988

RDC:AJW:bb

J. Robert Haines

Zoning Commissioner

Raymond Carignan, Director

Zoning Petition #88-486-A

Economic Development Commission

CC: Zoning File P. David Fields Shirley Hess, People's Counsel

HACROFILMED

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND Inter-Office Correspondence

Gwen Stephens /Zoning Office _DATE: August 28, 1991

SUBJECT: Files Returned from Circuit Court /

FROM: Kathi Weidenhammer /Bd of Appeals

Case No. 88-486-X /Kabik and No. 88-487-X /Kim


The attached two files were returned by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County. Both of these cases had been appealed to the Circuit Court, decisions rendered, and the files closed by this office some time ago. These files were inadvertently forwarded by the Circuit Court to People's Counsel as belonging to them and subsequently returned to this office by Shirley.

On November 13, 1989, Case No. 88-487-X (Richard Kim, et ux) was closed and the Board's copy of this file returned to the Zoning Office. Also, on January 23, 1990, Case No. 88-486-X (Melvin Kabik, et ux) was closed and our copy of the file returned to Zoning.

Should you have any questions, please call me at extension

kathi

Attachments (2)



VICINITY MAP 1": 2000' DR 5.5 LIQUORS FREDERICK ROAD (66'R/W) ZONED BIL. ROW HOMES #339 PR.10.5 NOTE: ALL SIGNS TO BE ELECTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION

413 OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

ZOUING REGULATIONS.

EXHIBIT 1

TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS BY CLASSIFICATION M.V. IN TRANSPORT PARKED MOTOR VEHICLE M.V. CN OTHER ROADWAY OTHER PED CONVEYANCE ARIMAL RAILWAY TRAIN

TOTAL KILLED

OTHER OBJECT
CVERTURNED
CTHER NON-COLLISION
TOTAL

00.0% 16.9% 00.7% 00.8% 01.3% 100.8%

02.88 01.78 00.08 00.58 00.08 17.48 00.68 01.08

Sign Location - - 6504 FREDERICK ROAD BEGINNING AT A POINT LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF FREDERICK ROAD (66 FEET WIDE), 122 FEET EAST OF THE CENTERLINE OF PROSPECT AVENUE (50 FEET WIDE) AND 51.5 FEET FROM THE CENTERLINE OF FREDERICK ROAD AND THENCE RUNNING THE FOLLOWING COURSES AND DISTANCES: 1) NORTHWESTERLY A DISTANCE OF 10 FEET TO A POINT, THENCE 2) NORTHWESTERLY A DISTANCE OF 30 FEET TO A POINT, THENCE 3) SOUTHWESTERLY A DISTANCE OF 10 FEET TO A POINT, THENCE 4) SOUTHEASTERLY A DISTANCE OF 30 FEET TO THE BEGINNING.

Tewson, Maryland 21204-2586 494-4500 Paul H. Reincke April 5, 1988 J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning Baltimore County Office Building Towson, MD 21204 Re: Property Owner: Melvin Kabik, et ux Dennis F. Rasmussen County Executive Location: NE/c Frederick Rd., and Prospect Ave. Item No.: 339 Zoning Agenda: Meeting of 3/29/88 Gentlemen: Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below marked with an "X" are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property. () 1. Fire hydrants for the referenced property are required and shall be located at intervals or ____ feet along an approved road in accordance with Baltimore County Standards as published by the Department of Public Works. () 2. A second means of vehicle access is required for the site. () 3. The vehicle dead end condition shown at EXCEEDS the maximum allowed by the Fire Department. () 4. The site shall be made to comply with all applicable parts of the Fire Prevention Code prior to occupancy or beginning of operation. () 5. The buildings and structures existing or proposed on the site shall comply with all applicable requirements of the National Fire Protection Association Standard No. 101 "Life Safety Code," 1976 edition prior to occupancy. () 6. Site plans are approved, as drawn. ($^{\rm X}$) 7. The Fire Prevention Bureau has no comments at this time.

Baltimore County

Fire Department

Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration

Richard H. Trainor Secretary Hal Kassoff Administrator

March 30, 1988

Mr. J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner County Office Building Towson, Maryland 21204 Att: James Dyer

RE: Baltimore County Property Owner Melvin Kabik Zoning Meeting 3/29/88 N/E/ C of Frederick Road Maryland Route 144 and Prospect Avenue Item #339

Dear Mr. Haines:

After reviewing the submittal for special exception for one (1) illuminated 12' x 25' advertising sign, the State Highway Administration-Bureau of Engineering Access Permits has the following comments.

The submittal for variance of a business sign has been forwarded to the State Highway Administration-Beautification Section, C/O Morris Stein (333-1642), for all comments relative to zoning.

If you have any questions, contact Larry Brocato of this office (333-1350).

Very truly yours,

Creston J. Mills, Jr. Acting Chief-Bureau of Engineering Access Permits

cc: J. Ogle Morris Stein w/att.

LB/es

My telephone number is (301) 333-1350 Teletypewriter for impaired Hearing or Speech 383-7555 Baltimore Metro + 565-0451 D.C. Metro - 1-800-492-5062 Statewide Toll Free

707 North Calvert St., Baltimore, Maryland 21203-071

BATIMORE COUNTY, MARTAND

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner P. David Fields, Director

MAARS PROGRAM B70260

P.D. ACC.

TRAFFIG ACCIDENTS BY CLASSIFICATION

M.V. IN TRANSPORT

PEDALCYCLE

ANIMAL RAILWAY TRAIN

FIXED OBJECT OTHER OBJECT

PARKED MOTOR VEHICLE M.V. ON OTHER ROADWAY PEDESTRIAN

OVERTURNED OTHER NON-GOLLISION

PEDS. KILLED TOTAL INJURED

PEDS. INJURED

NO. FAT. ACCS.

STATE OF MARYLAND

MOTOR VEHICLE TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS

ACMINISTATIVE SUMMARY

AREA = STATEWIDE

THIS YEAR

THIS PERIOD

FOR THE PERIOD 07/01/88 TO 09/30/88

PERIOD AND YEAR COMPARISONS

INJ. ACCS.

ND. INJURED

Office of Planning and Zoning SUBJECT Zoning Petition #88-486-A

> The site is located within the boundaries of the Paradise-East Catonsville Enhancement Study. This plan, adopted by the County Council, states, in part, that "Aesthetics along the south side should be improved through the inclusion of new paving for sidewalks, landscaping, benches, and new signage." Further, "Facade guidelines for the area would focus upon sun-screening, signage, and other elements depicted in the attached graphics." Finally, "Signage is both a functional and aesthetic consideration. Commercial signs in the area are generally good, but the sizes are sometimes inappropriate for their function."


One of the purposes of the plan was to encourage uniform, aesthetically pleasing signage through a reduction of existing sign clutter and the provision for unifying and appropriate

This office, therefore, is diametrically opposed to the granting of this petition.

May 16, 1988

cc: Shirley Hess, People's Counsel J. G. Hoswell Zoning File

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE J. Robert Haines Zoning Commissioner July 5, 1988 Raymond Carignan, Director Economic Development Commission SUBJECT Zoning Petition #88-486-A Kabike (tim 339) The above petition is for a 12' x 25' billboard in an area known as the Paradise-East Catonsville Enhancement Study Area. The goals and objectives of the above study are to preserve and enhance the revitalization area, a local commercial center that blends well with the nearby neighborhood. The County is spending much time and money to meet that goal and Zoning Petition #88-846-A is in opposition to that goal. Therefore, this office urges denial in granting this petition. RDC:AJW:bb CC: Zoning File P. David Fields / Shirley Hess, People's Counsel JUL 37 1988 4



CPS-008